SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD – SD/K/GB/1 UDP – K/GB1.2	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – Hollins Lane, Utley			
IR – Keighley, Page 201			
SD – SD/K/GB/2	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
UDP – K/GB1.4		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – North of Addingham			
IR – Keighley, Pages 201-203			
SD – SD/K/GB/3	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by deletion of	Decision : Accept	Mod/K/G
UDP – K/GB1.33	proposal K/GB1.33 and the inclusion of the land at West Shaw Lane, Oxenhope within the Green Belt.	Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	B/2
Site – West Shaw Lane, Oxenhope			
IR – Keighley / Page 203			
SD – SD/K/GB/4	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept	
UDP – K/GB1.34		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – North of Leeming Reservoir, Denholme Road, Keighley			

Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept	
	Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
I recommend that the RDDP be modified by deletion of the	Decision : Accept	Mod/K/G
Green Belt designation in respect of land at Yate Lane, Oxenhope.	Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	B/3
I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's	
I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted	
	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP. I recommend that the RDDP be modified by deletion of the Green Belt designation in respect of land at Yate Lane, Oxenhope. I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Recommendation Decision : Accept I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP. Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report. I recommend that the RDDP be modified by deletion of the Oreen Belt designation in respect of land at Yate Lane. Decision : Accept I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP. Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report. I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP. Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP. Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Decision : Accepted.

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		Ref
IR – Page No.			
SOM/K/GB1/99			
Site – Branshaw Plantation, Slaymaker Lane, Oakworth			
IR – Keighley, Pages 85-6			
SD – SD/K/GB/9	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
UDP - SOM/K/GB1/100:		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site - Slates Lane, Curly Hill, Ilkley			
IR – Keighley, Pages 217- 8			
SD – SD/K/GB/10	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept	
UDP - SOM/K/GB/101		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – Rear of 1-17 Woodworth Grove, Ingrow, Keighley			
IR – Keighley / Pages 218- 219			
SD – SD/K/GB/11	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
UDP - SOM/K/H1/110 & SOM/K/GB1/110: (SOM/K/GB1/110)		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – Land at Back Beck			

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		Ref
IR – Page No.			
Lane, Addingham			
IR – Keighley, Pages 87-8			
SD – SD/K/GB/12	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
UDP - SOM/K/H1/114 & SOM/K/GB1/114:		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site - Land at Summerhill Lane, Steeton with Eastburn			
IR – Keighley, Pages 91-2			
SD – SD/K/GB/13	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
UDP - SOM/K/H1/114.01 & SOM/K/GB1/114.01		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site - Land to East of "Prenton", Summerhill Lane, Steeton with Eastburn			
IR – Keighley, Pages 92-3			
SD – SD/K/GB/14	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
UDP - SOM/K/H1/114.02 & SOM/K/GB1/114.02		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site - Land at			

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons		
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation	CDINDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref	
	Recommendation		Rei	
IR – Page No.				
Summerhill Lane,				
Steeton with Eastburn				
IR – Keighley, Pages 93				
SD – SD/K/GB/15		Decision : Accepted.		
	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.			
UDP - SOM/K/H1/115: SOM/K/GB1/115		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.		
Site - Land at Hollins Bank Lane, Steeton with Eastburn				
IR – Keighley, Pages 93- 4 & 219-20				
SD – SD/K/GB/16		Decision : Accepted.		
	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.			
UDP - SOM/K/GB1/116 & SOM/K/H1/116		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.		
Site - Land at Skipton Road, Ilkley				
IR – Keighley, Pages 94-5				
SD – SD/K/GB/17			1	
	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by deleting the Green Belt boundary at Providence Farm shown on the Proposals	Decision : Reject		
UDP – SOM/K/GB1/118 & SOM/K/H1/118	Map and substituting a boundary running along the north-			
3UIVI/N/TI/IIð	western edge of the access drive to Providence Farm and	Reasons : The objector requested the deletion of this small area of land from the Green Belt. The Inspector rejected the objector's case but recommended that the		
Site – Providence Farm, Providence Lane, Oakworth	along the south-eastern curtilage boundaries of dwellings flanking the south-eastern side of Pasture Avenue, Oakworth.	Green Belt boundary be modified in this location. This involves land not subject to the original objection.		
IR – Keighley / Pages 95-		If accepted, the Inspector's Recommendation would result in land being added to the Green Belt.		
I V - Kelghiey / Lades 30-	<u> </u>			

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		Ref
IR – Page No.			
96			
		PPG2, at paragraph 2.6, advises that once the general extent of a Green Belt has been approved, it should be altered only in exceptional circumstances. Paragraph 2.7 of PPG2 provides that the exceptional circumstances should 'necessitate' a revision to the boundary. The court case Copas v The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead ([2001] J.P.L. 1169) led to a very specific test being applied when adding land to the Green Belt. The test provides that there cannot be a necessity to change a Green Belt boundary to add land to the Green Belt unless "some fundamental assumption which caused the land initially to be excluded from the Green Belt is there after clearly and permanently falsified by a later event".	
		 The Inspector's reasons for modifying the Green Belt boundary are that the boundary as drawn is not particularly logical and at one point it does not follow a strongly defined feature. In paragraph 6.133 the Inspector identifies the 'special circumstances' which justify a revision to the boundary. They are: The Council has reviewed the Green Belt boundary in detail The Council has made slight alterations to the Green Belt boundary at Providence Farm compared with the adopted UDP The general circumstances surrounding the RDDP Green Belt. 	
		One of these points is incorrect - the Council has not made any alterations to the Green Belt boundary at Providence Farm compared to the adopted UDP. The Council does not consider that the remaining points constitute exceptional circumstances which necessitate a revision to the Green Belt boundary in this location.	
		The Inspector has also failed to demonstrate how the addition of this land to the Green Belt would meet the Copas test, and the recommendation relates to land for which there were no duly made objections.	
		For these reasons the Council rejects the Inspector's recommendation.	
SD – SD/K/GB/18	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
UDP - SOM/K/GB1/218 & SOM/K/H1/218		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – Land at Bradford			

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
Rd, Sandbeds, Keighley IR – Keighley, Pages 98			
SD – SD/K/GB/19 UDP - SOM/K/H1/221, SOM/K/GB1/221 & SOM/K/GB1/221.01 : Site - Land at St lans Croft, Addingham IR – Keighley, Pages 99- 100	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the removal of the curtilages of Low Laithe and Southfield Barn from the Green Belt.	Decision : Accepted. Reasons : The Council accepts the Inspector's reasons with the exception of the comments in paragraphs 6.155 and 6.157 relating to the adequacy of phase 2 and safeguarded land and the need for a full-scale review of the Green Belt. The Council's consideration of these latter matters is contained within the Policy Framework section of this report.	Mod/K/G B/4
SD – SD/K/GB/20 UDP - SOM/K/H1/223 & SOM/K/GB1/223 Site - Land at Street House Farm, The Street, Addingham IR – Keighley, Pages 101- 102	I recommend that the RDDP should be modified by the removal from the Green Belt of that area of land shown on the plan at Appendix B, dated 24 July 2003, accompanying the Council's response to the objection.	 Decision : Accepted. Reasons : The Council accepts the recommendation though it does not fully accept the reasoning given by the Inspector in paragraph 6.159 of his report. In particular the failure of the land to fulfil Green Belt purposes does not in itself amount to an exceptional circumstance which would allow the land's removal from the Green Belt. The Council does however consider that such exceptional circumstances exist. In paragraphs 3.19 and 3.20 of his report into the Policy Framework volume of the Plan, the Inspector points out the two main exceptional circumstances which could justify Green Belt deletions. These are firstly the removal of land to meet the district's development needs and secondly to remove anomalies in the original delineation of Green Belt boundaries or anomalies which have arisen since the boundaries were first defined. The latter circumstance applies in this instance due to the suburbanisation of the site which has taken place since the Green Belt Subject Local Plan 	Mod/K/G B/5
SD – SD/K/GB/21 UDP - SOM/K/H1/275,	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted. Reasons : The Council accepts the Inspector's reasons for rejecting the objector's	

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		Ref
	Recommendation		Rei
IR – Page No. SOM/K/H1/276, SOM/K/OS2/213 & SOM/K/GB1/222 Site – Land at Southfield Farm, Addingham		proposals with the exception of the comments in paragraph 6.202 relating to the adequacy of phase 2 and safeguarded land and the need for a full-scale review of the Green Belt. The Council's consideration of these latter matters is contained within the Policy Framework section of this report.	
IR – Keighley, Pages 108- 111			
SD – SD/K/GB/22 UDP - SOM/K/CF3/323.02	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP other than those in relation to K/TM7.4.	Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site - Land to the east of Wheatley Lane, Ilkley IR – Keighley, Pages 178- 9			
SD – SD/K/GB/23 UDP - SOM/K/GB1/323.03 SOM/K/CF3/323.03 & SOM/K/OS2/323.03 Site - Land to east of	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP other than those in relation to K/TM7.4.	Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Wheatley Lane, Ilkley IR – Keighley, Page 179			
SD – SD/K/GB/24	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept	

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		Ref
IR – Page No.			
UDP – SOM/K/GB1/371		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – Field No. 8284, Shaw Lane, Oxenhope			
IR – Keighley / Page 222			
SD – SD/K/GB/25	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept	
UDP – SOM/K/GB1/384		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Site – Land at Lower Naylor Hill Farm, Black Moor Road, Oxenhope			
IR – Keighley / Page 222			
SD – SD/K/GB/26	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted.	
		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's	
UDP – K/GB6A.1 & SOM/GB6A.1/2 SOM/K/GB7/406			
Site - Marley Waste Water Treatment Works			
IR – Keighley, Page 223			
		Decision : Accepted.	
SD – SD/K/GB/27	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.		
		Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's	
UDP – SOM/K/GB6A/404 &			
SOM/K/GB7/404 & SOM/K/GB7/404			

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Page No. Site - Sladen Valley Water Treatment Works IR – Keighley, Pages 223- 224			
SD – SD/K/GB/28 UDP – SOM/K/GB6A/410 Site - Low Mills, Old Lane, Addingham IR – Keighley, Page 224	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's	
SD – SD/K/GB/29 UDP – SOM/K/GB6A/424 Site - Oldfield Water Treatment Works, Oldfield, Keighley IR – Keighley, Pages 224 -225	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted. Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's	